

RBC ROYAL BANK (CAYMAN) LIMITED

Basel II Pillar 3 (Semi-Annual) Disclosures April 30, 2025

RBC Royal Bank (Cayman) Limited

Contents	Page
Contents	Page
<u>CAP – DETAILS ON THE BANK'S CAPITAL, INCLUDING SPECIFIC CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS</u>	3
<u>CR1 – CREDIT QUALITY OF ASSETS</u>	7
CR2 – CHANGES IN STOCK OF DEFAULTED LOANS AND DEBT SECURITIES	8
<u>CR4 – STANDARDISED APPROACH – CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE AND CRM EFFECTS</u>	9
<u>CR5 – STANDARDISED APPROACH – EXPOSURES BY ASSET CLASSES AND RISK WEIGHTS</u>	10
CCR1 – ANALYSIS OF COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE BY APPROACH	11
CCR3 – STANDARDISED APPROACH OF CCR EXPOSURES BY REGULATORY PORTFOLIO AND RISK WEIGHTS	12
CCR5 – COMPOSITION OF COLLATERAL FOR CCR EXPOSURE	13
CCR6 – CREDIT DERIVATIVE EXPOSURES	14
LIQ2 – NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (NSFR)	15
SEC1 – SECRUTISATION EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK	16
SEC2 – SECURITISATION EXPOSURES IN THE TRADING BOOK	17
SEC3 – SECURITISATION EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK AND ASSOCIATED REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS – BANK ACTING AS ORGINATOR OR AS SPONSOR	18
SEC4 – SECURITISATION EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK AND ASSOCIATED CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS – BANK ACTING AS INVESTOR	19
MR1 – MARKET RISK UNDER STANDARDISED APPROACH	20
ENC – ASSET ENCUMBRANCE	21

CAP – DETAILS ON THE BANK'S CAPITAL, INCLUDING SPECIFIC CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS

Scope

RBC Royal Bank (Cayman) Limited is ultimately owned by Royal Bank of Canada (RBC), a publicly traded chartered bank. In June 2008, RBC acquired RBTT Financial Group (RBTT) creating RBC Financial (Caribbean) Limited (RBCFCL) with headquarters in Port of Spain, Trinidad. The Bank was incorporated locally on September 21, 2011, to become RBC Royal Bank (Cayman) Limited, a subsidiary of RBCFCL. The bank has no subsidiaries and therefore, consolidation differences for accounting and regulatory purposes does not apply.

Capital Structure

The Bank's accounting capital is comprised mainly of issued ordinary shares at par \$1.00, share premium and retained earnings less any dividends paid. As recorded in the company's financial statements as of October 31, 2024 the Bank's total equity is \$249 million (audited financial statements as of October 31, 2023 was \$212 million).

The following table shows the Bank's total eligible capital in respective Tiers as outlined in the guidelines on minimum capital requirements:

April 30, 2025

CAP – DETAILS ON THE BANK'S CAPITAL, INCLUDING SPECIFIC CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

A. Tier 1 Capital	USD \$'000s
Paid up capital	16,486
Share premium	98,407
Retained earnings	134,042
Eligible innovative instruments	-
Minority interest	-
Other Tier 1 Capital	-
Total Tier 1 Capital	248,935
B. Deductions from Tier 1 Capital	
Goodwill	-
Intangible assets	-
50/50 pro rata basis deduction	-
Other Tier 1 Deductions	-
Total Deductions from Tier 1 Capital	-
Total Tier 1 Capital	248,935
C. Tier 2 Capital	
Perpetual cumulative preference shares	_
Perpetual cumulative preference shares Perpetual cumulative subordinated debt	_
Excess on innovative instruments	_
General provisions	4,164
Other upper tier 2 instruments	21,718
Total Upper Tier 2 Instruments	25,881
	· ·
Term subordinated debt	-
Other lower tier 2 instruments	-
Total Lower Tier 2 Instruments	-
Total Tier 2 Instruments	25,881
D. Deductions from Tier 2 Capital 50/50 pro rata basis deduction	
Other Tier 2 Deductions	-
Total Deductions from Tier 2 Capital	_
Total Deductions from their 2 Capital	-
Net Tier 2 Capital	25,881
Total Net Tier 1 and Net Tier 2 Capital	274,817
E. Tier 3 capital	
Fully paid, unsecured subordinated debt	-
Available Capital Base	274,817

CAP – DETAILS ON THE BANK'S CAPITAL, INCLUDING SPECIFIC CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

Capital Adequacy

RBCFCL has in place a comprehensive Capital Management Framework (CMF) that provides a high-level overview of how RBCFCL and its subsidiaries, manage its capital in a coordinated and consistent manner across its organizational structure in order to ensure capital adequacy and ongoing compliance with all regulatory requirements and self-imposed internal targets. This framework is reinforced by key supporting policies and processes, which provide further detail surrounding the Annual Capital Plan process, the management of capital adequacy, subsidiary capital management and all capital-related transactions.

RBC Cayman adheres to an annual stress testing program to evaluate the subsidiary's capital position under severe but plausible scenarios, to assist with capital adequacy and contingency planning. RBC Cayman is considered adequately capitalized as at October 2024. Refer to the table below.

Corporate Treasury - Caribbean in conjunction with Finance prepares the Annual Capital Plan for its regulated subsidiaries such as RBC Cayman; incorporating financial goals, including the capital ratio targets within which the Group's capital management is conducted. This is done in alignment with the Group Operating / Business Plan.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Plan (ICAAP) is a regulatory prescribed process and forms one of its tools of 'Supervisory Review' as required by Pillar II of the Basel II framework. Through development of the ICAAP, Banks are required to demonstrate to Boards of Directors and to regulators that they have a thorough process for assessing adequacy of Capital relative to their risks, and also have sufficient capital resources to cover all material risks beyond the core minimum requirements, i.e. they maintain a safety cushion to accommodate a range of unexpected but plausible contingencies.

Core risks include credit, market and operational risks as defined and measured in accordance with prescribed regulatory guidance. Also considered within the scope of adequacy assessments are a broader range of risks. The ICAAP evaluates the Bank's capital position in relation to its risk appetite, risk profile, business strategies, operating environment and sensitivity to a number of contingencies defined in stress tests.

Risk appetite and business strategy decisions determine the types and magnitude of risks faced by the institution. This in turn dictates the potential for unexpected losses and the institution's overall requirements for capital. For RBC Cayman, the Capital Adequacy assessment is a function of capital required versus available, as measured by regulatory and internal requirements. Regulatory capital requirements of the entity are subject to Basel II Pillar 1 stipulations as required by CIMA.

The following table shows the risk weighted assets for credit, market and operational risk along with the minimum capital requirement for each. Also shown is the Total and Tier 1 capital adequacy ratio.

CAP – DETAILS ON THE BANK'S CAPITAL, INCLUDING SPECIFIC CAPITAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)

USD (in \$000s)	RWA	Minimum Capital Requirements (13%)		
	April 30, 2025	April 30, 2025		
Credit risk (excluding counterparty				
credit risk) (CCR)	682,688	88,749		
Securitisation exposures	•	•		
Counterparty credit risk	•	•		
Of which: current exposure method	-	-		
Of which: standardized method	-	-		
Market risk	157	20		
Of which: Equity risk	-	-		
Operational risk	96,192	12,505		
Of which: Basic Indicator Approach	-	-		
Of which: Standardised Approach	96,192	12,505		
Of which: Alternative Standardised	-	-		
Total	779,037	101,275		

Total Tier 1 Capital Ratio	31.95%
Total Capital Ratio	35.28%

CR1 – CREDIT QUALITY OF ASSETS

		а	b	С	d
	Gross carrying values of:				
	USD (in \$000s)	Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Allowances/ impairments	Net values (a+b+c)
1	Loans	3,978	1,049,723	(5,502)	1,048,199
2	Debt Securities	-	197,170	(1)	197,169
3	Off-balance sheet exposures	-	76,220	1	76,220
4	Total	3,978	1,323,113	(5,503)	1,321,588

A loan is considered impaired and in default when the borrower is 90 days or more past due on any material obligation to the Bank and/or the Bank considers the borrower unlikely to make their payments in full without recourse action. For certain credit card balances, default occurs when payments are 180 days past due. For these balances, the use of a period in excess of 90 days past due is reasonable and supported by the performance experienced on historical credit card portfolios. The definition of default used is applied consistently from period to period and to all financial instruments unless it can be demonstrated that circumstances have changed such that another definition of default is more appropriate.

CR2 – CHANGES IN STOCK OF DEFAULTED LOANS AND DEBT SECURITIES

	USD (in \$000s)	November 2024 to April 2025
1	Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the previous reporting period	4,720
2	Loans and debt securities that have defaulted since the last reporting period	1,401
3	Returned to non-defaulted status	(877)
4	Amounts written off	(192)
5	Other changes	(1,074)
6	Defaulted loans and debt securities at end of the reporting period (1+2-3-4+5)	3,978

New defaults occurring over the period consist of 21 loan facilities. These are anchored with our special loans team for the appropriate collection and recovery efforts.

CR4 – STANDARDISED APPROACH – CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE AND CRM EFFECTS

		April 30, 2025						
USD (in \$000s)		Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post-CCF and CRM		RWA and RWA density		
		On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	On-balance sheet amount	Off-balance sheet amount	RWA	RWA Density	
1	Soverigns and their central banks	766,247	-	766,247	-	-	0.00%	
2	Non-central government public sector entities	20,720	-	20,720	-	-	0.00%	
3	Multilateral development banks	12,789	-	12,789	-	-	0.00%	
4	Banks	82,235	-	82,235	-	16,447	20.00%	
5	Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	
6	Corporates	261,148	53,651	261,148	53,651	275,366	87.47%	
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	40,769	22,569	40,769	22,569	45,283	71.49%	
8	Secured by residential property	351,093	-	351,093	-	263,320	75.00%	
9	Secured by commercial real estate	68,025	-	68,025	-	68,025	100.00%	
10	Past-due exposures	2,445	-	2,445	-	2,445	100.00%	
11	Higher-risk categories	11,803	-	11,803	-	11,803	100.00%	
12	Other assets	-	-	-	-	-	0.00%	
13	Total	1,617,274	76,220	1,617,274	76,220	682,688	40.31%	

CR5 – STANDARDISED APPROACH – EXPOSURES BY ASSET CLASSES AND RISK WEIGHTS

		April 30, 2025									
	Asset Classes USD (in \$000s)	0%	10%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	Others	Total credit exposure amount (post CCF and post- CRM)
1	Soverigns and their central banks	766,247	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	766,247
2	Non-central government public sector entities	20,720	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,720
3	Multilateral development banks	12,789	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,789
4	Banks	-	-	82,235	-	-	-	-	-	-	82,235
5	Securities firms	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6	Corporates	7,153	-	30,103	-	16,395	-	261,148	-	-	314,799
7	Regulatory retail portfolios	-	-	22,569	-	-	-	40,769	-	-	63,338
8	Secured by residential property	-	-	=	-	-	351,093	-	-	-	351,093
9	Secured by commercial real estate	-	-	=	-	-	-	68,025	-	-	68,025
10	Past-due exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,445	-	-	2,445
11	Higher-risk categories	-	-	=	-	-	=	11,803	-	-	11,803
12	Other assets	_	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
13	Total	806,909	-	134,907	-	16,395	351,093	384,190	-	-	1,693,494

CCR1 - ANALYSIS OF COUNTERPARTY CREDIT RISK EXPOSURE BY APPROACH

Counterparty credit risk is the risk that a party with whom the bank has entered into a financial or non-financial contract will fail to fulfill its contractual agreement and default on its obligation. It incorporates not only the contract's current value, but also considers how that value can move as market conditions change. Counterparty credit risk usually arises from trading-related derivative and repo-style transactions.

The Bank does not engage in these types of trading activities at this time.

CCR3 – STANDARDISED APPROACH OF CCR EXPOSURES BY REGULATORY PORTFOLIO AND RISK WEIGHTS

Refer to Table CCR1. The Bank does not currently engage in trading related activities that give rise to significant counterparty credit risk.

CCR5 – COMPOSITION OF COLLATERAL FOR CCR EXPOSURE

Refer to Table CCR1. The Bank does not currently engage in trading related activities that give rise to significant counterparty credit risk.

CCR6 – CREDIT DERIVATIVE EXPOSURES

Refer to Table CCR1. The Bank does not currently engage in trading related activities that give rise to significant counterparty credit risk.

LIQ2 – NET STABLE FUNDING RATIO (NSFR)

The available stable funding of the entity is driven primarily from capital (100% stable) and operational deposits from personal, non-personal and sovereigns. The majority of the assets requiring stable funding (50%) are primarily <1 year loans to personal, non-personal and sovereigns. The entity has more operational deposits than less-than-1 year loans compared to the required funding resulting in an elevated NSFR result.

				April 30, 2025		
In \$	'000s	No Maturity	< 6 Months	6 Months to 1 Year	> 1 Year	Weighted Value
Ava	ilable stable funding (ASF) item					
1	Capital	-	-	-	274,750	274,750
2	Regulatory capital				274,750	274,750
3	Other capital instruments					
4	Retail deposits and deposits from small business					
	customers:	-	221,737	32,552	24	228,884
5 6	Stable deposits		004 707	00.550	0.1	000 004
7	Less stable deposits Wholesale funding:		221,737	32,552	24	228,884
8	Operational deposits	-	1,026,126 1,026,126	42,151 42,151	-	520,724 520,724
9	Other wholesale funding		1,020,120	42, 151		520,724
	Liabilities with matching interdependent assets					
	Other liabilities:					
12	NSFR derivative liabilities					
\Box	All other liabilities and equity not included in the					
13	above categories					
14						1,024,358
Rec	uired stable funding (RSF) item					
15	Total NSFR high-quality liquid assets (HQLA)					24,876
16	Deposits held at other financial institutions for					
10	operational purposes		27,149			13,575
17	Performing loans and securities:	-	357,066	424	-	178,746
18	Performing loans to financial institutions secured					
Ľ	by Level 1 HQLA					
1	Performing loans to financial institutions secured					
19	by non-Level 1 HQLA and unsecured performing					
Н	loans to financial institutions					
	Performing loans to non-financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business					
20	customers, and loans to soverigns, central banks					
	and PSEs, of which:		357,066	424		178,746
Н	With a risk weight of less than or equal to		337,000	727		170,740
21	35% under the Basel II standardised					
	approach for credit risk					
22	Performing residential mortgages, of which:					
	With a risk weight of less than or equal to					
23	35% under the Basel II standardised					
	approach for credit risk					
24	Securities that are not in default and do not qualify					
	as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities					
-	Assets with matching interdependent liabilities					,
-	Other assets:	-	-	-	-	-
27	Physical traded commodities, including gold					
	Assets posted as initial margin for derivative					
28	contracts and contributions to default funds of					l
-00	CCPs					
29	NSFR derivative assets NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of					
30						l
Н	variation margin posted All other assets not included in the above					
31	categories					
32	Off-balance sheet items		7,153			7,153
33	Total RSF		7,103			224,350
34	Net Stabe Funding Ratio (%)					457%
-						70

SEC1 – SECRUTISATION EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK

SEC2 – SECURITISATION EXPOSURES IN THE TRADING BOOK

 ${\tt SEC3}-{\tt SECURITISATION}$ EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK AND ASSOCIATED REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS – BANK ACTING AS ORGINATOR OR AS SPONSOR

SEC4 – SECURITISATION EXPOSURES IN THE BANKING BOOK AND ASSOCIATED CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS – BANK ACTING AS INVESTOR

MR1 - MARKET RISK UNDER STANDARDISED APPROACH

Market risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market prices. Market risks arise from open positions in interest rate, currency and equity products, all of which are exposed to general and specific market movements and changes in the level of volatility or market rates or prices such as interest rates, credit spreads, foreign exchange rates and equity prices.

The bank does not hold financial assets and liabilities sensitive to changes in market variables aside from foreign exchange and interest rates. As such, the Bank is not deemed to have significant other price risk exposures and the Bank does not engage in market trading activities.

The following table shows the component of RWA under the standardised approach allocable to market risk:

	USD (in \$000s)	April 30, 2025
	Outright products	
1	Interest rate risk (general and specific)	-
2	Equity risk (general and specifici)	-
3	Foreign exchange risk	157
4	Commodity risk	-
	Options	
5	Simplified approach	-
6	Delta-plus method	-
7	Scenario approach	-
8	Securitisation	-
9	Total	157

ENC – ASSET ENCUMBRANCE

The Bank's assets are not encumbered and are available to be liquidated, sold, transferred or assigned. Although unencumbered, given that the Bank adheres to minimum liquidity and capital regulatory requirements, some of the Bank's assets are managed within those established minimum rules.

Financial Statement Line Items USD (in \$000s)	Encumbered Assets	Unencumbered Assets	Total
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	-	357,591	357,591
Loans and advances to customers	-	1,048,199	1,048,199
Investment securities	-	197,169	197,169
Due from affiliated companies	-	6,086	6,086
Premises and equipment	-	8,377	8,377
Other assets	-	7,523	7,523
Total Assets	-	1,624,945	1,624,945